Senate votes to lift natural gas price control

Iowa City's Sunday evening newspaper

WASHINGTON (UPI) - A more than two-thirds majority of senators Tuesday voted to lift a regulatory ceiling on gas prices, a move which industry officials said will and has already led to a sharp rise in prices.

Several senators, including those from Iowa, said the vote was a step toward deregulating the natural gas industry, which has been under scrutiny in recent years for high prices and alleged corruption.

Senator Tom Harkin, a Democrat from Iowa, said in a statement after the vote: "Today's vote is a step toward a cleaner, more efficient and more competitive energy marketplace. It is also a step toward the end of government price controls on natural gas."

The vote was 65-26, with two senators absent. The move will allow gas prices to be set by the free market, rather than by the government.

"It's a victory for consumers," said Republican Senator John Cornyn of Texas. "We're taking away a government-created ceiling on prices and allowing the market to work.

"For too long, we've had a system where the government has interfered with the market in order to artificially keep prices low. Now consumers will have the opportunity to choose the gas prices that work best for them.

"I'm happy to see that both sides of the aisle were able to come together and agree on this issue. This is a win for all Americans."
Whitney backs tenant ordinance

by R. C. BRANDAU
City Specialist

Iowa gubernatorial candidate Elda Whitney has come out in favor of a proposed tenant ordinance in Iowa City.

"I feel that the ordinance would give Iowa City, which is the third lowest in average, has the third lowest Iowa's crime rate is on the Senate, and the state is contributing to the problem," Whitney said.

State Rep. Tom Ryan, D-Johnston, a supporter of the tenant ordinance, said that Iowa City is the third lowest.

The ordinance would set standards for rental housing, including restrictions on the rental of property to tenants with a history of eviction or non-payment of rent.

Whitney also said that the ordinance would provide a mechanism for resolving disputes between landlords and tenants, which she said is necessary to ensure fair treatment of tenants.

The proposed tenant ordinance has been discussed in the Iowa City Council and is awaiting further action.

Residents and business owners have expressed support for the ordinance, and some landlords have expressed concerns about its potential impact on the rental market.

Whitney's support for the tenant ordinance is part of her broader platform for addressing social and economic issues in Iowa City.

She has also called for the expansion of affordable housing options, increased funding for public schools, and the protection of workers' rights.

The tenant ordinance is one of several initiatives that Whitney has proposed to address the needs of Iowa City residents and to improve the quality of life in the city.

Whitney's campaign continues to gather momentum, and she is expected to play a significant role in the upcoming gubernatorial election in Iowa.
WATERGATE TERROR TERMS CUT

WASHINGTON (UPI) – U.S. District Court Judge John Sirica has reduced the prison terms cut off the sentences of the three Watergate burglars, said Friday, October 6, that he reconsidered the sentences earlier this month.

The three men, who were convicted last year of federal charges stemming from the break-in at the Democratic National Committee headquarters, have been in prison since their arrests.

Sirica has not officially announced the sentences and the new terms will be announced at a later date.
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Negligence

The U.S. Supreme Court gave its decision Monday in a case that has long been debated in the United States. The Court held that the capital punishment system in the United States is unconstitutional. The decision was a major victory for those who have long argued that the death penalty is immoral and that it is needlessly expensive.

The case involved the case of Roderick Young, who was convicted of murder and sentenced to death in 1987. The Court ruled that the use of the death penalty as a punishment for murder is unconstitutional because it is not proportionate to the gravity of the crime.

The decision was a major victory for those who have long argued that the death penalty is immoral and that it is needlessly expensive. The Court held that the use of the death penalty as a punishment for murder is unconstitutional because it is not proportionate to the gravity of the crime.
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Gandhi freed, mobbed

Nearly 11,000 Boeing workers on strike

By MARY ABBOUD

NEW DELHI, India (UPI) - The government Tuesday ap
dplied for an arrest warrant for former Prime Minis
ter Gandhi in a shock development following her
release from jail last year.

In about three years, the Soviet Union will give up its
armament limitation agreement it's signed with the
United States to reduce the reliance of superpowers
on nuclear arms, President Jimmy Carter said.

Carter offered to limit arms if Russia will

UNITED NATIONS (UPI) - President Carter Tuesday
pledged that he would limit arms sales to both the
USSR and China if Russia would stop selling nuclear
weapons to third parties.

"We will try to limit arms sales to both the USSR
and China, if Russia would stop selling nuclear
weapons to third parties," he said in a speech indin
ered in the General Assembly.

"If Russia will stop selling nuclear weapons to
third parties, we will limit our sales to both the USSR
and China," he added.

Harvest sale

20% to 50% off

in all departments

Open until 9:00 tonight

Roshkos

116 South Clinton
Phone 338-1101

USE PUBLIC RADIO

Octoberfest sales

10% off

On our complete stock of new Fall Fashions, including our Big & Tall Shop.

- Outwear
- Clothing or for the 8th
- Furnishings
- Shoes

Ewers Men's Store

Downtown Iowa City

Smoking rules too slack

by MAYN ARNOLD

UI non-smoking regulations, now largely ignored by students and faculty members, are not being enforced by the administration. The non-smoking policy is not enforced in UI residence halls and residence halls are exempt from the UI non-smoking regulations.

Employees parking in residence halls are subject to a fine of $25.00. Employees parking in residence halls are subject to a fine of $25.00. Employees parking in residence halls are subject to a fine of $25.00.

An exception past spring in the Kinnick Stadium, when a student parking area was set up for employees. All UI employees who park in the area are subject to a fine of $25.00.

As an exception past spring in the Kinnick Stadium, when a student parking area was set up for employees. All UI employees who park in the area are subject to a fine of $25.00.

"We..."
WASHINGTON (UPI) -- The House Ways and Means Committee approved sharply increased Social Security taxes Tuesday -- a move designed to help meet the needs of high-income workers -- as an effort to make the program financially sound.

In another action, the com-
mittee voted down a proposed amendment of the original proposals which people would have to pay $965 a year in 1981.

The tax increase approved by a 20-17 vote, would be in Social Security tax now about $2,000 a year for high-income workers -- in an effort to bring federal, state, and local government workers as well.

The tax would increase only to 1979; Dally H. Wilson, for one, who calls it a "respectable" tax, once again said the committee has increased Social Security tax next year and $1,379 in 1981.

The tax rate -- now 6.3 per cent in 1981 -- would now be 7.2 per cent in 1985.

The committee meets Wednesday to consider the bill.

**New German Cinema**

Fassbinder's KATZELMACHER (1969)

A chilling account of two lovers who are driven to madness by their desire to escape from the confines of their own lives. Based on the novel by Dietrich.

WED. 9:20

**SOME CAME RUNNING**

(AFP)

Developed from a novella by John Sayles, this story tells the story of a young man who comes to the United States to look for his brother and instead finds himself embroiled in a series of events that lead him to questions about his own identity and the meaning of life. Based on the novel by John Sayles.

THURS. 7:20

**Fiddlers' Picnic**

Fiddlers, Folk Singers, Bluegrass Bands

4-H Fairgrounds, Highway 218
South of Iowa City

Sunday, Oct. 9

$1.00 admission - under 12 free
12:30 - 6 pm

RAIN OR SHINE - BRING YOUR OWN PICNIC

**HALL OF AMERICA**

San Francisco Ballet

Program:

Friday, October 21, 1977 8 pm

Roméo et Juliet

Saturday, October 22, 7:30 pm

Beethoven Overtures

Stravinsky: Pap de Doux

Mozart

Model: Germain

Ticket rates are available at the Hancher Auditorium Box Office or telephone 353-6255 for information.

MONDAY, OCTOBER 24, 1977

Noon: "HAMLET" (1950)

Directed by Laurence Olivier, this film adaptation of Shakespeare's classic play tells the story of the tragic descent of a man who becomes obsessed with his own death and eventually commits suicide. Based on the play by William Shakespeare.

WED., OCT. 25, 1977

7:20 pm: "SOME CAME RUNNING" (1969)

This film, based on the novel by John Sayles, tells the story of a young man who comes to the United States to look for his brother and instead finds himself embroiled in a series of events that lead him to questions about his own identity and the meaning of life. Based on the novel by John Sayles.

THURS., OCT. 26, 1977

7:20 pm: "THE DELIVER CONSORT" (1969)

This film, directed by Alan Parker, tells the story of a group of people who come together to form a musical ensemble and use their music to express their individuality. Based on the novel by John Sayles.

THURS., OCT. 26, 1977

8:30 pm: "REMEMBER" (1976)

Told in the style of a documentary, this film explores the experiences of African-Americans in America and their struggle for civil rights. Based on the novel by John Sayles.

**HANCHER AUDITORIUM**

TODAY AT

HANCHER AUDITORIUM

Tickets $4.50 in advance
$5.00 at the door

OPENING TOMORROW

**CROSSWORD PUZZLE**

WILL EING

**THE DELIVER CONSORT**

Chamber Music Series

PRESENTS

Saturday, October 21, 1977 8 pm

A DANCES ON SUNDAY MORNINGS" (airing TUESDAY)

**MAGOO'S Happy Hours**

Monday: 8:00-10:00

M-W-F: 4:00-6:00

206 N. Linn
Japanese minister quits

Western nations attack human rights violations

Mideast negotiations advance
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Blues singer Hooker unvels his act tonight

656 WALLACE
Staff Writer

It's not often that an artist can combine the studio with the stage while still actively pursuing his career. But this is the case with Muddy Waters, who has recorded his latest album in a small, intimate environment, that much he and his band are now touring extensively. The album cover, with a new look and a different sound, was released last week.

Muddy Waters

Many listeners knew about the lively blues that is characteristic of his style. But few knew that the album was created in a small, intimate environment. This new look and sound are a departure from his previous albums, which were recorded in larger studios.

The album cover features a close-up of Muddy Waters' face, with a new look and a different sound. The title of the album is "Muddy Waters: The Final Chapter." It includes many of his classic songs, as well as new material.

"I never thought I'd record again," Waters said. "But this is a special album." The album was recorded in a small studio, with a tight-knit group of musicians.

"We recorded in a small studio," Waters said. "It was like being in a living room." The album was recorded in a small, intimate environment, which is reflected in the sound and feel of the songs.

The album features many of his classic songs, as well as new material. It includes "Hoochie Coochie Man," "Honey Bee," and "St. Louis Blues." It also includes new songs, such as "Blues in the Night."
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The album features many of his classic songs, as well as new material. It includes "Hoochie Coochie Man," "Honey Bee," and "St. Louis Blues." It also includes new songs, such as "Blues in the Night."

"I never thought I'd record again," Waters said. "But this is a special album." The album was recorded in a small studio, with a tight-knit group of musicians.

"We recorded in a small studio," Waters said. "It was like being in a living room." The album was recorded in a small, intimate environment, which is reflected in the sound and feel of the songs.

The album features many of his classic songs, as well as new material. It includes "Hoochie Coochie Man," "Honey Bee," and "St. Louis Blues." It also includes new songs, such as "Blues in the Night."

The album features many of his classic songs, as well as new material. It includes "Hoochie Coochie Man," "Honey Bee," and "St. Louis Blues." It also includes new songs, such as "Blues in the Night."
**Ali inks contract for title defense**

NEW YORK (UPI) — Muhammad Ali will defend his heavyweight title early in February against the winner of the Tony Spinks-Rick Fourtney bout, Blue Aron, president of Top Rank Inc., announced yesterday.

Aron said he had signed contracts with Ali and his manager, Russ Rosen. Ali's superior stamina and quickness could mean a change to his usual 315-lb. weight for the bout.

Tolton, the rated 6-to-1, is the heading title, Aron said. Other potential dates, in order, are the June 18 Evander Holyfield and Las Vegas Hilton-Bad, Aron added negotiations are under way with all of them to be honored by the end of the year.

**Player of the Year awarded to Foster**

"If any one has really earned a home run during the season it's Foster," said Blue Aron, president of Top Rank, Inc.

Amos Foster has been a consistent hitter all season long.

**The Line**, indicate a tie. For the game

Foster described what went on in the game as the league's best
timer of the season.

"For the past seven days a week . . ." Foster said Tuesday. HELP WANTED
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Southpaws open AL playoff

NEW YORK (AP) - Southpaws Ron Guidry and Paul Lindblom, both of whom seem to thrive in "pressure cooker" situations, will be the focus of all eyes on the firing line this Wednesday's pivotal first game of the American League's Championship Series between the New York Yankees and Kansas City Royals.

Guidry, the left-hander of the two, was a workhorse in the 1977 pennant race, starting 36 games and compiling a 24-11 record and 1.74 ERA. Although he failed to get a victory in the World Series, he was characteristically enthusiastic about the Yankees' World Series, was characteristically enthusiastic about the Yankees' chances against the Kansas City Royals in the first game of the Series.

"It means the world to me," he said. "It's the first game of the Series. It's the first game of the Series, and I'm going to make sure I'm ready."

Lindblom, the right-hander of the two, makes his fourth appearance in an AL championship series.

"This is a great opportunity for me," he said. "I'm looking forward to it."

The Yankees, who won the AL East with a record 100 victories, are a strong favorite to beat the Royals, who finished 2nd in the AL West with 98 victories.

The Series promises to be a tough one, with both teams having a good chance of winning.

Stickers take two

The United States women's field hockey team remained unbeaten after a 1-0 victory over Canada in the opening game of the World Cup on Tuesday.

The game was played in规章 University, Pennsylvania, and the United States women's field hockey team won 1-0.

"It was a hard-fought game," said U.S. captain Mary Dudley. "We were determined to get the win and we did."

The United States women's field hockey team is currently ranked 3rd in the world and is considered one of the favorites to win the World Cup.

What can we say, after we say:

Earl Scruggs?

Earl Scruggs has always stood for uncompromising musicianship. Every performance, every album by The Earl Scruggs Revue, is memorable. And it goes without saying. On Columbia Records and Tapes.

Available at MUSICLAND in the Mall $3.99 LP or $4.99 Tape

Philips top Dodgers

Los Angeles (AP) - The Los Angeles Dodgers clinched the National League pennant with a 5-1 victory over the Philadelphia Phillies on Tuesday, tying the Series at one game each.

The Dodgers, who entered the day with a 98-65 record, were in control from the start, scoring three runs in the second inning and never letting up.

The victory gave the Dodgers a 2-1 lead in the Series, and they will now face the New York Yankees in the World Series.

The Yankees, who finished 1st in the American League with a 100-63 record, are considered the favorites to win the Series.

"We're looking forward to the Challenge," said Dodgers manager Tom Lasorda. "We've got a great team, and we're going to be ready."