Panama vows no compromise on canal

The United States wants early agreement on a new treaty to replace the 1901 treaty that has governed relations between Panama and the United States for 64 years, but Panama wants a full-scale renegotiation of the control of the strategic waterway by the year 2001. The United States, for its part, says that the two countries are getting too close to the election period to make a treaty "a reality this year.

"There will be no compromise," Panamanian President Juan Carlos Varela told the American media. "It is a matter of sovereignty...we are not going to offer anything for the canal.

"Gaugy cannot be negotiated."

Varela said the United States can choose to solve the canal treaties "peacefully" or "by force" but he warned: "That's a promise that we won't keep...the United States wants the United States to abandon any voice in Panama...and Panama has signed to transfer operation of the canal from the United States to Panama, to be completed in the year 2001.

Varela warned that the United States could not "abandon the status of other countries in Latin America...it is not a question of military or economic interests...it is a question of Panamanian sovereignty."

He also said that Panama demanded "a fair distribution of the Panama Canal's revenues...the United States could not demanding the Panamanian position...is the Panamanian stance."

The United States denied that it was prepared to abandon negotiations on the canal treaties.

"We are not going to negotiate anything about the Panama Canal treaties...but we are going to continue trying to work with both Panamanian governments..." the State Department said.

"We are not going to abandon the status of other countries in Latin America...it is not a question of military or economic interests...it is a question of Panamanian sovereignty."
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Suit filed to reverse apartment work stoppage

BY BEVERLY GEBH

A suit was filed Monday in Johnson County District Court against the city of Iowa City, in an effort by the plaintiffs to reverse a city-ordered work stoppage of a multi-residential apartment complex.

The suit also asks the court to vacate a stoppage order, alleging that the suit results from the city's denial of a building permit to 200 North Dodge, an apartment building on the site of the development. The suit was filed Monday in the name of Olaya Albrecht, a local attorney.

The suit was filed on behalf of the plaintiffs, the United States Army and the city of Iowa City.

The suit argues that the city's action was in violation of the plaintiffs' rights under the Constitution and the laws of the state of Iowa.
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they said was that black people are doing in the world. He said,

"We say anything going on in the world and they talk about black people as a group, but when we talk about white people, they don't give them the same enunciation."

"They say, actually going out and making a protest, the picketers are going to pick up the gun, as the black people are doing in the world."

State thumbs-down to AFSCME's pay-increase proposal

BY THERESA CURRICAL

State Board of Regents President Robert Flay has been asked for a 12.5 percent wage increase requested by the state Board of Regents. Blue-collar workers and the new Department of Transportation (DOT) workers are scheduled to be among the state Board of Regents to sign a contract this year.

"Some workers may be able to improve their wages, but many do not."

The Personal Touch

Sears
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Protection by fiat

Some City Council members again made thinly-veiled threats of "no vote." 'Vote' the ordinance, they intimated to the Mayor and the Common Council, or 'no vote'.' On Tuesday, an amendment to the January 25th meeting calling for a "no vote" by the Common Council was introduced by Alderman John Thompson, of the First Ward. The amendment was defeated on a roll-call vote of 9-2, with Councilors Bob Vevera and Jimmie Tunick voting "no.

The demonstration outside the City Hall was peaceful. Roman Catholic missionaries were taking part in the demonstration. Although the missionaries were not among the demonstrators, they allowed the young people to protest from the City Hall steps.

Councilor John Balmer wondered what he would say to the young people who had demonstrated if he thought a black leader was a good leader, but he should not be responsible for their activities. "If this is the way it is going to be," Councilor Balmer continued, "then I will have to say, 'What can happen with a heterogeneous situation?' But if there is a problem, I will say, 'What can happen with a heterogeneous situation?'"

The facts that homosexuals are a group of people that are discriminated against now. They deserve the same rights as any other group. They are discriminated against now. They deserve the rights of freedom and security. The reason of Balmer's remark is that the councilors seem to give equal status to the demonstration and the Councilor's comment. There is an interest in the quality and policies of the students. To say that a student's and a Councilor's voice concern about the University's board are important, there were many students and a Councilor indeed have a say in the university board.

In the United States, the foreign policy is based on the idea that the Middle East is a sensitive area. In that area, the United States has intervened in wars and conflicts, and has supported dictatorial regimes. In the case of the Middle East, the United States has supported Israel, which has been a key player in the region. Israel has occupied Palestinian land, and has been involved in conflicts with Arab countries. The United States has also provided economic and military aid to Israel, which has been a cornerstone of its foreign policy in the region.

The United States has supported Israel for several reasons. First, Israel is a key ally of the United States in the region. Second, the United States has economic interests in the Middle East, which is a major oil producer. Third, the United States has political interests in the region, as it seeks to maintain its global influence. The United States has also provided economic and military aid to Israel, which has been a cornerstone of its foreign policy in the region.

Majority rule in Rhodesia...

Zimbabwe—crusing for a tragedy and finding it

By TOM MAPP

"Crashing for tragedy," a Feb. 13, 1977 page 1 story in the New York Daily News, summed up a great deal of controversy surrounding the "Rhodesian Bush Resolutions" of the Rhodesian National Union Congress, which has been accused of being a tool of the Rhodesia regime.

The editorial described an arrest of a student at the University of Zimbabwe and the University of South Africa on charges of sedition. The arrest was later released, but the issue of sedition continued to be a controversial one in Zimbabwe.

The editorial called the arrest a "legal" arrest and said that "the real question is whether the Rhodesian government is justified in arresting people on charges of sedition.

The editorial went on to say that the Rhodesian government has been accused of being a tool of the Rhodesia regime and that "the real question is whether the Rhodesian government is justified in arresting people on charges of sedition.

The editorial concluded by saying that "the real question is whether the Rhodesian government is justified in arresting people on charges of sedition."

The editorial was an example of the type of controversy that surrounds the Rhodesian government and its policies. The government has been accused of being a tool of the Rhodesia regime and of using sedition charges to arrest people.

The editorial was an example of the type of controversy that surrounds the Rhodesian government and its policies. The government has been accused of being a tool of the Rhodesia regime and of using sedition charges to arrest people.
A political science major in Washington, D.C., said, "I think (stopping out) is very good for a student who needs to get a better sense of direction." Hubbard added.

"The older students who are coming back are really energized about school," Miller said. "They are afraid that they don't know what's going on, and they're going to walk in Mandella Hall with 90 freshmen can be a bit intimidating." Miller will be UI's having a freshmen-bearing April, which is designed to help students get to know the campus.

"I stopped out we're perfectly willing to re-enter," Miller said. "I think it's good," the said. "I think (stopping out) is difficult to expect some people to make important career decisions when they are really young."
January sets East, Midwest record lows

WASHINGTON, D.C. - Last month was the coldest January ever recorded in the East and Midwest, and the cold could last to be the most rigid winter since the Civil War, the Commerce Department reported Thursday.

For the 48 contiguous states, the report said, the average temperature was 39 degrees, compared to the previous low of -8 degrees in 1885.

The estimate was developed by the National Climatic Center in Asheville, N.C., the department said. The estimate was based on a series of weather records, some dating back to 1718.

If February and March continue below normal, the report said, then the January average may be the lowest since 1823, Quayle said in a telephone interview.

He said that the previous record low for January was 11.3 degrees, compared to the 26.3 degrees recorded in 1823.

Quayle said the worst conditions have been in the Midwest where the average temperature in January was 12.5 degrees, and along the East Coast where it was 25.1 degrees.

The survey reported the "very cold" Januaries" in the East, he said. The survey uses New Haven, Conn., New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore and Charleston, S.C., as primary sources of information dating back to 1823, Quayle said.

Videos in the East. Coast average temperature surpassed the previous January record of 0.9 degrees in 1887, Quayle said.

The survey covered the contiguos states, the report said.

Duk, sympathetic to the area, at last to burst free and reach safety among a circle of curious onlookers.

The Chollima-Enforcers, to whom he turned, to take Ho Down away.

The figures were compiled at the Asheville center under the direction of Robert Quayle, chief of the Applied Chronotologies Branch.

Quayle said the worst conditions have been in the Midwest where the average temperature in January was 12.5 degrees, and along the East Coast where it was 25.1 degrees.

The survey reported the "very cold" Januarys in the East, he said. The survey uses New Haven, Conn., New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore and Charleston, S.C., as primary sources of information dating back to 1823, Quayle said.

February 22 - 8 pm
IMU Main Lounge
8:35 Admission. Tickets available at IMU Box Office from 11-2 pm daily
sponsored by IMU Programming
AFC American Cultural Center
Liberal Arts Student Assn.

MIDWEST

THE BJI0U PRESENTS

Princess Yang Kwei Fei
and a Lecture-Discussion

"One of the most beautiful film ever to treat beauty as a subject"

A CHINESE CINEMATIC TALE WITH AN UNHAPPY END.

The narrative, told by the voices of the Heart and Mind, is set in ancient China. Princess Yang Kwei Fei, a beautiful girl, is betrothed to the prince, but when she learns of his impending marriage to another woman, she commits suicide. Her death is mourned by the people of the country, and the prince is forced to face the consequences of his actions.

The film is a masterpiece of Chinese cinema, and the lecture-discussion following the film will explore the themes of beauty, love, and the consequences of our actions.

Dwors Open at 7:00 pm
Show begins 9:00 pm

Have You Ever Heard the Music of Elton John on a Stereo System with 960 Watts per Channel?

We Have. We Have It!

TOPPER

"THE FINEST DISCO IN THE MIDWEST"

DJ's 505 E. Burlington

DOORS OPEN AT 7:00 pm
Show Begins 9:00 pm

Ramona Austin & Voices of Soul

TOGETHER

February 22 - 8 pm
IMU Main Lounge
8:35 Admission. Tickets available at IMU Box Office from 11-2 pm daily
sponsored by IMU Programming
AFC American Cultural Center
Liberal Arts Student Assn.
This year in the dorms was O.K... but was it all you wanted?

IOWA Fraternities provide a better way!

FOR FORTY-FIVE MINUTES ANYTHING GOES, AS SANTANA HOSTS A STREET "FESTIVAL"

Santana's "Festival" features the emotionally-charged sonority and direction of Carlos Santana. The brilliance of "Amigos" keyboardman Tom Coster. The return of founding member Chepito Areas. And former Malo members Raul Rekow and Pablo Telles (co-founder of "Supertramp").

It's the most exciting Santana yet. On Columbia Records and Tapes.

Appearing at University of Iowa February 23
"Would you like to come to lunch?" asks new assistant Coach Bill Reagan about joining the Hawkette program from the University of Southern Illinois at Carbondale. Solution is currently recruiting in the area.

By ROY QUINN

Jim's e-mail

Although he may be forced to live out his retirement for the next few weeks, Rich Honecker insists he's enjoying every minute of it as Iowa's new football coach.

Solution, 25, was named as head coach Bob Coats' replacement on Dec. 28 by the playing fields of Northern Iowa Recycling for the Hawkeyes. Solution, a native of New York, was an all-state football player for St. Augustine high school there.

"I've been here for about three years, I've been out here since the spring," Honecker said. "I've been here for about three years, I've been out here since the spring."