WISCONSIN WINE DEBATE

Morr's Spanish Finest brand in Madison in Town--Male Social Determined--

Paul Harman

Delivery

Madison, Wisconsin, May 11, 1901.-Special--Wisconsin defeated Iowa in the third debate between the two institutions held here last night. The decisions of the judges was two to one for Wisconsin. The question discussed was, Should the State of Wisconsin construct the Wisconsin Canal? It was offered for Wisconsin by W. E. Smith, by another of Madison, and by T. L. Smith, of Milwaukee. The judges were Professor of Biology, and Professor of Engineering, and the latter was the chairman of the debate. The debate was held in the auditorium of the University of Wisconsin.

The debate was divided into two main parts. In the first part, the question was debated as to the construction of the canal, the second part being devoted to the discussion of the question as to whether the canal should be constructed or not. The debate was well attended, and the audience was greatly interested in the subject.
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EDITORIAL

The Globe Debate

Not only the conservative section, but the university felt honored yesterday by the presence in the city of the Philanthropists debaters and their friends. Although in no sense a debate between the university and Cornell, the event created an interest almost as great as an intercollegiate contest. The audience appraised the excellent appearance of all the debaters and the general opinion seemed to be that in such a contest of wit and beauty, it was no wonder that the judges were unable to agree on the score of the victorious. The friendly intercourse feeling that should exist between Cornell and Iowa has certainly been advanced by the presence of the Philanthropists in the city.

The Wisconsin Debate

The third Wisconsin-Iowa debate, held last night at Madison, was won by the University of Wisconsin. According to the dispatches, the debate was close and exciting. Its result remained in doubt after its close, owing to Iowa's magnificent presentation of the negative side, especially in Mr. Moore's speeches. That Mr. Moore should make the finest speech heard at Literary Hall in years, is in itself a great distinction for an Iowa debater.

Iowa was finely prepared for the debate and expected to win it. Wisconsin is to be congratulated upon its victory, the second which it has won over Iowa. The Hawkeye team acquitted itself most creditably. The benefit of the intercollegiate meeting is in itself a win for Wisconsin. The university has improved on the decision of the first debate at Madison and with the proper effort and enthusiasm, a team may be selected which will defeat Wisconsin at Iowa City next year. In the meantime, each debater of the two 'Globe' teams is entitled to praise for the work he has done for his university. Dedication was highly creditable to each speaker and to both universities.

Dr. K. P. Fourest, whose gifts to small college aggregate several millions, advises young men to equip themselves with a college education, save $500 and go west.

IMPORTANT NOTICES

London Prize

The examination for the London Mathematical Prize will be held on Saturday, May 16th, from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m., at the University of London. It is open to all students of mathematics, and includes a prize of £500. The examination will be held in two parts, the first part consisting of a written examination and the second part consisting of an oral examination.

The members of the committee appointed to examine will be as follows: Professors of Mathematics, W. H. and J. E. Thomson; Professors of Physical Chemistry, T. W. and J. L. M. Tizard; Professors of Experimental Physics, J. M. and H. G. L. G. Tizard; and Professors of Astronomy, A. R. and J. L. M. Tizard. The examination will be held at the University of London, and the prize will be awarded to the candidate who is declared the most likely to make the greatest contribution to the science of mathematics.
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New SUITS and OVERCOATS are arriving. See our New Rain Costume, especially adapted for Spring wear in the "Kitchener" and new Regan shapes, $50.00 to $80.00.

BLOOM & MAYER

One-Cent COUPONS

IOWA CITY, IOWA

UP-TO-DATE GOLF, TENNIS, FOOTBALL, BICYCLES, GUNS, AND FISHING TACKLE.

PARSONS & STOUFFER, 6 & 8 Division St.

FOR THE BEST PHOTOGRAPHY SEE LUCOMBE, 909 BROADWAY.

OUR AIM IS ARTISTIC EFFECTS AND HONEST DOUBLE WORK, SPECIAL RATES TO STUDENTS.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY BOOK STORE, CUMBERLAND, M. O.

Fountain Pens A Note Books A Magazines and Papers Text-books for all Departments.
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